

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/688,222	10/16/2000	ANDREW PETER BRADLEY	169.1865	1392	
5514 75	5514 7590 07/15/2004			EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			MARIAM, DANIEL G		
NEW YORK, 1	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621	18	
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004	, -	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
v	09/688,222	BRADLEY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL G MARIAM	2621
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Tile Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6,29-34 and 40-50 5) Claim(s) 7-26,35-37 and 46-62 is/are allowe 6) Claim(s) 27,28,38 and 39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	<u>45</u> is/are withdrawn from consided.	deration.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of	nccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5 & 7</u>. 		Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/688,222

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicants' election without traverse of Group II (corresponding to claims 7-28, 35-39, and 46-62) in the reply filed on April 19, 2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 29-34, and 40-45 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on April 19, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 27-28 and 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tai (5,054,100).

With regard to claim 27, Tai discloses a method of performing interpolation on a pixel-based image (See for example, Figure 1), said method comprising the steps of accessing, i.e., accesses using a scanner) a first set of discrete sample values of said image data (See for example, items 20 and 22, in Fig. 6); calculating kernel values, i.e., weighting factors, for each of said discrete sample values using a kernel (the use of a kernel is considered inherent because the weighting factors represent the components of an interpolation kernel. Additionally, applicants' attention is invited to col. 7, lines 15-25), wherein parameters of said kernel are selected depending upon an edge orientation indicator, an edge strength indicator, and an edge

Art Unit: 2621

context indicator for each of said discrete sample values (See Fig. 6; and col. 7, lines 26-51); and convolving said kernel values with said discrete sample values to provide a second set of discrete sample values, i.e., new pixel data (See item 32, in Fig. 6; and col. 7, lines 39-51).

With regard to claim 28, a method of interpolating a first set of discrete sample values (See item 22, in Fig. 6) to generate a second set of discrete sample values using an interpolation (See items 24 and 32, in Fig. 6) kernel (the use of a kernel is considered inherent because the weighting factors represent the components of an interpolation kernel. Additionally, applicants' attention is invited to col. 7, lines 15-25), wherein parameters of said interpolation kernel are selected depending on an edge strength indicator, an edge direction indicator and an edge context indicator for each discrete sample value of said first set (See col. 7, lines 26-51).

Claims 38 and 39 are rejected the same as claims 27 and 28 respectively. Thus, arguments analogous to those presented above for claims 27 and 28 are respectively applicable to claims 38 and 39.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 7-26, 35-37, and 46-62 are allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: none of the prior art of record teach or fairly suggest, among other things, manipulating the edge sample values and the stored angle of orientation for each of said discrete sample values using a morphological process; combining said manipulated edge sample values and said manipulated angle of

'Application/Control Number: 09/688,222

Art Unit: 2621

orientation for each of said discrete sample values to form a second mapping of the discrete sample values; mapping said manipulated edge sample values of said second mapping, using a mapping function, to form a third mapping; for each discrete sample value of said third mapping: calculating parameters of a kernel, wherein said parameters are dependant upon the edge sample values and said angle of orientation of each of said sample values of said third mapping; and calculating a plurality of kernel values utilizing said parameters and the kernel. It is for these reasons and in combination with all of the other elements of the claims that claims 7-26, 35-37, and 46-62 are allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent Numbers: 5008752, 5774601, 5953465, 6404918, and 6424749; a publication to: Reichenbach, et al. "Optimal, small kernels for edge detection"; Freeman, et al. "The design and use of steerable filters"; and Farid, et al. "Optimally rotation-equivariant directional derivative kernels*"; and Nishikata, et al. "Filter Circuit: a computer translation of Japanese patent number 06-260889".

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL G MARIAM whose telephone number is 703-305-4010. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30) FIRST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LEO BOUDREAU can be reached on 703-305-4607. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DANIEL MAPIAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 2, 2004